

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I can not pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable. And our service is VITAL for medical reasons.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee. My husband is handicapped and we live on a very limited fixed income and yet because of his medical problems I MUST carry a cell phone with me at all times so I can be reached. I must also have one to insure being able to call an ambulance if our regular service is interrupted for any reason. We only make long distance calls about 3-4 times a year, why should we pay as much as a big business or someone who calls long distance all the time?

Please keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Doris Karnila 20 Benson Ave.
Worcester, MA 01605

Sincerely,

D. Karnila
20 Benson Ave.
Worcester, Massachusetts 01605-2052